

What is a point? What is a space?

Laurent LAFFORGUE

(Lagrange Centre, Huawei Technologies France)

Chern Institute of Mathematics
Nankai University

Friday October 17th, 2025

What is a real number?

We all know the classical constructions of $\mathbb{R}$ by Cauchy or Dedekind:

Definition. –

A real number is an equivalence class of sequences

$$n \in \mathbb{N} \mapsto r_n \in \mathbb{Q}$$

which verify the “Cauchy condition”

$$\lim_{n_1, n_2 \rightarrow +\infty} |r_{n_1} - r_{n_2}| = 0,$$

for the equivalence relation

$$(r_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sim (r'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |r_n - r'_n| = 0.$$

Definition. –

A real number is a subset

$$x \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$$

which is

- non empty,
 - bounded from above,
 - complete from below in the sense that, for any $r \in x$,
- $$r' \leq r \Rightarrow r' \in x.$$

An alternate definition of real numbers by approximations

Here is an alternate definition of real numbers which formalizes the idea that a real number is something which can be approximated with arbitrary precision:

Definition. –

Let Q be an ordered set consisting in a dense subset of $\mathbb{Q}$

completed with the symbols $-\infty$ and $+\infty$.

Then a real number x is a coherent family of answers

to the question yes or no

“Does x belong to the interval $]a, b[$?”

for any pair of elements $a < b$ in Q ,

if we call “coherent” a family of answers verifying:

- (F) If the answer for $]a, b[$ is “yes”, it is “yes” for any $]a', b'[\supset]a, b[$.
- (C) If $]a, b[=]a', b'[\cap]a'', b''[$,
the answer is “yes” for $]a, b[$ if it is “yes” for $]a', b'[,$ and $]a'', b''[$.
- (C₁) The answer for $] - \infty, +\infty[$ is “yes”.
- (J) If the answer is yes for $]a, b[,$ and $]a, b[= \bigvee_{i \in I}]a_i, b_i[,$
then the answer is yes for at least one $]a_i, b_i[, i \in I$.

Reformulation of the definition of real numbers

We observe that the one-element set $\{\bullet\}$ has two subsets

$$\emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad \{\bullet\}$$

which can represent the answers “no” and “yes”.

Definition. –

If Q is a dense subset of $\mathbb{Q}$ completed with the symbols $-\infty, +\infty$,
a real number is a mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \rho : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{intervals }]a, b[\\ \text{with } a < b \text{ in } Q \end{array} \right\} &\longrightarrow \{\text{subsets of } \{\bullet\}\}, \\]a, b[&\longmapsto \rho(]a, b[), \end{aligned}$$

such that:

- (F) ρ transforms any inclusion $]a, b[\subseteq]a', b'[,$
into an embedding $\rho(]a, b[) \subseteq \rho(]a', b'[).$
- (C) ρ transforms any intersection $]a, b[=]a', b'[\cap]a'', b''[,$
into an intersection $\rho(]a, b[) = \rho(]a', b'[) \cap \rho(]a'', b''[).$
- (C₁) ρ transforms the maximal interval $] - \infty, +\infty[$
into the maximal subset $\rho(] - \infty, +\infty[) = \{\bullet\},$
- (J) ρ transforms any covering $]a, b[= \bigcup_{i \in I}]a_i, b_i[,$
into a covering $\rho(]a, b[) = \bigcup_{i \in I} \rho(]a_i, b_i[).$

What is a covering?

Proposition. – Let Q be a dense subset of $\mathbb{Q}$, completed with the symbols $-\infty, +\infty$.

In order to define real numbers, the notion of covering by subintervals

$$]a, b[= \bigvee_{i \in I}]a_i, b_i[$$

has to be defined in the following way:

- { • A family $]a_i, b_i[\subseteq]a, b[$, $i \in I$, is a covering
if and only if, for any $a < a' < b' < b$,
there exists in I a finite family $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \in I$
such that
 $a_{i_1} < a'$, $b' < b_{i_n}$ and $a_{i_{k+1}} < b_{i_k}$, $1 \leq k < n$.

Formal properties of coverings:

- (0) A family of subintervals $]a_i, b_i[\subseteq]a, b[$, $i \in I$, is a covering
if and only if its associated “sieve” $\{a', b' \mid \exists i \in I,]a', b'[\subseteq]a_i, b_i[\}$
is a covering.
- (1) Any $]a, b[$ is a covering of itself.
- (2) If $]a, b[= \bigvee_{i \in I}]a_i, b_i[$, then for any $]a', b'[\subset]a, b[$,
$$]a', b'[= \bigvee_{i \in I} (]a', b'[\cap]a_i, b_i[).$$
- (3) If $]a, b[= \bigvee_{i \in I}]a_i, b_i[$ and $]a_i, b_i[= \bigvee_{j \in I_i}]a_{i,j}, b_{i,j}[$, $\forall i \in I$,
then
$$]a, b[= \bigvee_{i \in I} \bigvee_{j \in I_i}]a_{i,j}, b_{i,j}[.$$

Generalization to ordered sets

Definition. –

Consider a partially ordered set $(O, \leq)$ such that

- O has a biggest element, denoted 1 ,
- any elements $a, b \in O$ has an inf $a \wedge b$ characterized by
$$c \leq a \wedge b \iff c \leq a \text{ and } c \leq b.$$

A Grothendieck topology J on $(O, \leq)$ consists in a notion of

$$\text{“covering”} \quad a = \bigvee_{i \in I} a_i$$

of elements $a \in O$ by families of smaller elements $(a_i \leq a)_{i \in I}$,

which verifies the following axioms:

- (0) A family $(a_i \leq a)_{i \in I}$ is a covering if and only if its associated “sieve” $\{a' \mid \exists i \in I, a' \leq a_i\}$ is a covering.
- (1) For any $a \in O$, $a \leq a$ is a covering.
- (2) If $a = \bigvee_{i \in I} a_i$ and $a' \leq a$,
then $a' = \bigvee_{i \in I} (a' \wedge a_i)$.
- (3) If $a = \bigvee_{i \in I} a_i$ and $a_i = \bigvee_{j \in I_i} a_{i,j}, \forall i \in I$,
then $a = \bigvee_{\substack{i \in I \\ j \in I_i}} a_{i,j}$.

Generalization of the notion of point

Definition. –

Let $(O, \leq, 1, \wedge)$ be a partially ordered set
with a biggest element 1 and an inf operator $\wedge$.

Let J be a Grothendieck topology.

In this context, a point in Grothendieck's sense
is a mapping

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \rho & : & O \longrightarrow \{\text{subsets of } \{\bullet\}\}, \\ & & a \longmapsto \rho(a), \end{array}$$

such that:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(F)} \quad \rho \text{ transforms any relation } a' \leq a \\ \quad \text{into an inclusion } \rho(a') \subseteq \rho(a). \\ \text{(C)} \quad \rho \text{ transforms any inf relation } c = a \wedge b \\ \quad \text{into an intersection } \rho(c) = \rho(a) \cap \rho(b). \\ \text{(C}_1\text{)} \quad \rho \text{ transforms the biggest element } 1 \\ \quad \text{into the biggest subset } \rho(1) = \{\bullet\}. \\ \text{(J)} \quad \rho \text{ transforms any } J\text{-covering } a = \bigvee_{i \in I} a_i \\ \quad \text{into a covering } \rho(a) = \bigcup_{i \in I} \rho(a_i). \end{array} \right.$$

The particular case of ordinary coverings of open subsets

Proposition. –

Let X be a topological space and O_X be the set of open subsets of X .

Let $O \subseteq O_X$ be a subset

which is “dense” (meaning any element of O_X is a union of elements of O)
and such that

- the total space X is an element of O ,
- O is stable under finite intersections.

Let J be the “ordinary topology” on O ,

for which a family $(U_i \subseteq O)_{i \in I}$ is “covering”
if and only if

$$U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i.$$

Then:

- (i) Any element $x \in X$ defines a point of (O, J) .
- (ii) Conversely, if the topological space X is “sober”,
any point of (O, J) is defined by a unique element $x \in X$.

Remark:

Almost all topological spaces met in practice are sober.

In particular, Hausdorff spaces are sober.

Topologies defined by measures

Lemma. –

Let X be a sober topological space.

Let $O \subseteq \overline{O_X}$ be a dense subset

which contains X and is stable under finite intersections.

Then a measure μ of open subsets of X

defines a topology J_μ on O

by deciding that a family $(U_i \subseteq U)_{i \in I}$

is “covering” if and only if it contains a countable subfamily

$$(U_{i_n} \subseteq U)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \quad \text{with} \quad i_n \in I, \forall n,$$

such that

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_{i_n}\right) = \mu(U).$$

Proposition. –

In this situation, points of (O, J_μ)

correspond to elements $x \in X$

such that $\mu(\{x\}) \neq 0$.

Remark:

In many natural cases, (O, J_μ) has no point
even though it defines a space (as we shall see).

Reminder on categories

A category (= mathematical country) $\mathcal{C}$ consists in

- “objects” (= mathematical cities) $X, Y, \dots$
- “morphisms” (= itineraries) between objects (= cities) $X \rightarrow Y$,
- a composition law of morphisms (= itineraries)

$$(X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z) \mapsto (X \xrightarrow{g \circ f} Z)$$
 which is associative and admits a unit element $\text{id}_X : X \rightarrow X$ for any object (= city) X .

Definition. – A category $\mathcal{C}$ is called “cartesian” if:

- (i) It admits a “terminal object” $1_{\mathcal{C}}$ in the sense that any object X of $\mathcal{C}$ has a unique morphism $X \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{C}}$.
- (ii) Any pair of morphisms $X \xrightarrow{f} S$ and $S' \xrightarrow{s} S$ defines a “cartesian commutative square”

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times_S S' & \xrightarrow{x} & X \\ f' \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ S' & \xrightarrow{s} & S \end{array}$$

characterized by the property that any “commutative square”

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ S' & \xrightarrow{s} & S \end{array}$$

yields a unique factorization

$$X' \longrightarrow X \times_S S'.$$

Grothendieck topologies on cartesian categories

Definition. –

Consider a (small) category which is cartesian.

A Grothendieck topology J on $\mathcal{C}$ consists in a notion of covering of objects X of $\mathcal{C}$

by families of morphisms $(X_i \xrightarrow{x_i} X)_{i \in I}$
which verifies the following axioms:

- (0) A family $(X_i \xrightarrow{x_i} X)_{i \in I}$ is a covering if and only if
its associated “sieve”

$\{X' \xrightarrow{x} X \mid x \text{ admits a factorization } X' \rightarrow X_i \xrightarrow{x_i} X \text{ for some } i \in I\}$
is a covering.

- (1) For any object X , $X \xrightarrow{\text{id}_X} X$ is a covering.

- (2) If $(X_i \xrightarrow{x_i} X)_{i \in I}$ is a covering,
then for any morphism $X' \xrightarrow{x} X$, the associated family
 $(X' \times_X X_i \longrightarrow X')_{i \in I}$ is a covering.

- (3) If $(X_i \xrightarrow{x_i} X)_{i \in I}$ is a covering and, for any $i \in I$,
 $(X_{i,j} \xrightarrow{x_{i,j}} X_i)_{j \in I_i}$ is a covering,
then $(X_{i,j} \xrightarrow{x_{i,j}} X_i \xrightarrow{x_i} X)_{\substack{i \in I \\ j \in I_i}}$ is a covering.

Points of cartesian categories endowed with a topology

Definition. –

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a small category which is cartesian
and is endowed with a Grothendieck topology J .

A point of $(\mathcal{C}, J)$, in Grothendieck's sense,
is a mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \rho : \mathcal{C} &\longrightarrow \text{Set}, \\ \text{object } X &\longmapsto \rho(X) = \text{set}, \\ \text{morphism } (X \xrightarrow{f} Y) &\longmapsto (\rho(X) \xrightarrow{\rho(f)} \rho(Y)) = \text{map}, \end{aligned}$$

such that:

- $$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (F) \quad \rho \text{ is a "functor" , i.e. respects composition of morphisms and identities} \\ \qquad \rho(g \circ f) = \rho(g) \circ \rho(f), \quad \rho(\text{id}_X) = \text{id}_{\rho(X)}. \\ (C) \quad \rho \text{ transforms cartesian squares into cartesian squares} \\ \qquad \rho(X \times_S S') = \rho(X) \times_{\rho(S)} \rho(S'). \\ (C_1) \quad \rho \text{ transforms the terminal object } 1_{\mathcal{C}} \text{ of } \mathcal{C} \\ \qquad \text{into the terminal set } \rho(1_{\mathcal{C}}) = \{\bullet\}. \\ (J) \quad \rho \text{ transforms } J\text{-covering families } (X_i \xrightarrow{x_i} X)_{i \in I} \\ \qquad \text{into globally surjective families } (\rho(X_i) \xrightarrow{\rho(x_i)} \rho(X))_{i \in I}. \end{array} \right.$$

What about the notion of space?

- Starting from the definition of real numbers by approximation, we have defined a notion of point for any small cartesian category $\mathcal{C}$ endowed with a topology J .

- In the particular case where

$$\mathcal{C} = (O, \leq, X, \wedge)$$

for O a dense subset of

$$O_X = \{\text{open subsets of a sober topological space } X\}$$

which contains X and is stable by finite intersection,

and for $J =$ ordinary topology of O ,

we have an identification

$$X = \{\text{points of } (O, J)\}.$$

This means that the space X is independent from its sketches (O, J)

and can be reconstructed from any of them.

Question:

Is it possible to extend this construction to

$$(\mathcal{C}, J) \longmapsto \text{space sketched by } (\mathcal{C}, J)$$

with a well-defined compatible notion of point of such a space?

Recovering the points of a space from its category of “sheaves”

Definition. – Let X be a topological space.

- (i) A presheaf on X is a contravariant functor

$$\begin{aligned} P : O_X^{\text{op}} &\longrightarrow \text{Set}, \\ \text{open subset } U &\longmapsto P(U) = \text{set}, \\ \text{inclusion } (U' \subseteq U) &\longmapsto (P(U) \rightarrow P(U')) = \text{restriction map}, \\ \text{compatible with compositions of inclusions } U'' \subseteq U' \subseteq U. \end{aligned}$$

- (ii) A presheaf F is a sheaf if, for any covering $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$,
elements $u \in F(U)$ correspond one-to-one to families $u_i \in F(U_i)$, $i \in I$,
which are compatible in the sense that, for any $i_1, i_2 \in I$,
 $u_{i_1} \in F(U_{i_1})$, $u_{i_2} \in F(U_{i_2})$ have the same restriction in $F(U_{i_1} \cap U_{i_2})$.
- (iii) The category $\mathcal{E}_X$ of sheaves on X is called the “topos” of X .

Theorem. – Let X be a “sober” topological space.

- (i) Elements of X , i.e. points, correspond one-to-one to pairs of adjoint functors

$$(\mathcal{E}_X \xrightarrow{x^*} \text{Set}, \text{Set} \xrightarrow{x_*} \mathcal{E}_X)$$

whose left component $x^* : \mathcal{E}_X \rightarrow \text{Set}$ respects terminal objects and cartesian squares.

- (ii) More generally, for any topological space X' , continuous maps $X' \rightarrow X$
correspond one-to-one to pairs of adjoint functors $(\mathcal{E}_X \xrightarrow{f^*} \mathcal{E}_{X'}, \mathcal{E}_{X'} \xrightarrow{f_*} \mathcal{E}_X)$
whose left component $f^* : \mathcal{E}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{X'}$ respects terminal objects and cartesian squares.

Generalization to partially ordered sets

Definition. –

Let $(O, \leq, 1, \wedge)$ be a partially ordered set
with a biggest element 1 and an inf operator $\wedge$.

(i) A presheaf on O is a contravariant functor

$$\begin{aligned} P : O^{\text{op}} &\longrightarrow \text{Set}, \\ \text{element } U &\longmapsto P(U) = \text{set}, \\ \text{relation } (U' \leq U) &\longmapsto (P(U) \rightarrow P(U')) = \text{restriction map}, \\ &\text{compatible with compositions of relations } U'' \leq U' \leq U. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) If J is a Grothendieck topology on O ,

a presheaf F on O is a J -sheaf if, for any J -covering

$$U = \bigvee_{i \in I} U_i,$$

elements $u \in F(U)$ correspond one-to-one to families

$$u_i \in F(U_i), \quad i \in I,$$

which are compatible in the sense that, for any $i_1, i_2 \in I$,

$$u_{i_1} \in F(U_{i_1}), \quad u_{i_2} \in F(U_{i_2}) \text{ have the } \text{same restriction in } F(U_{i_1} \cap U_{i_2}).$$

(iii) The category $\widehat{O}_J$ of J -sheaves on O is called the "topos" of (O, J) .

Generalization to categories

Definition. – Consider a small category $\mathcal{C}$.

(i) A presheaf on $\mathcal{C}$ is a contravariant functor

$$\begin{aligned} P : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} &\longrightarrow \text{Set}, \\ \text{object } X &\longmapsto P(X) = \text{set}, \\ \text{morphism } (X' \xrightarrow{x} X) &\longmapsto (P(x) : P(X) \rightarrow P(X')) = \text{restriction map}, \\ \text{compatible with compositions of morphisms } &X'' \rightarrow X' \rightarrow X. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) If $\mathcal{C}$ is cartesian and J is a Grothendieck topology on $\mathcal{C}$, a presheaf on $\mathcal{C}$ is a J -sheaf if, for any J -covering

$$X_i \xrightarrow{x_i} X, \quad i \in I,$$

elements $u \in F(X)$ correspond one-to-one to families

$$u_i \in F(X_i), \quad i \in I,$$

which are compatible in the sense that, for any $i_1, i_2 \in I$,

$$u_{i_1} \in F(X_{i_1}), \quad u_{i_2} \in F(X_{i_2}) \text{ have the } \underline{\text{same restriction}} \text{ in } F(X_{i_1} \times_X X_{i_2}).$$

(iii) The category $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_J$ of sheaves on $(\mathcal{C}, J)$ is called the “topos” of $(\mathcal{C}, J)$.

Definition. – A topos $\mathcal{E}$ is a category which admits an equivalence

$$\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_J$$

to the topos of sheaves on some $(\mathcal{C}, J)$.

Independence of sketches

Proposition (Grothendieck). –

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a small (cartesian) category $\mathcal{C}$ endowed with a topology J .

Let

$$\mathcal{C}' \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

be a full (cartesian) subcategory which is “dense”

in the sense that any object X of $\mathcal{C}$ admits J -coverings

$$(X_i \xrightarrow{x_i} X)_{i \in I}$$

by objects X_i of $\mathcal{C}'$.

Let J' be the topology of $\mathcal{C}'$ induced by the topology J of $\mathcal{C}$.

Then there is a canonical equivalence of toposes

$$\hat{\mathcal{C}}_J \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{J'}.$$

Corollary. –

Let X be a topological space.

Let $O \subseteq O_X$ be a dense subset of the set $O_X = \{\text{open subsets of } X\}$
(which contains X and is stable under finite intersections).

Then there is an equivalence of toposes

$$\mathcal{E}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{O}_J$$

if J is the ordinary topology on O .

Points of toposes

Definition. –

- (i) A point of a topos $\mathcal{E}$ is a pair of adjoint functors

$$(\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{x^*} \mathbf{Set}, \mathbf{Set} \xrightarrow{x_*} \mathcal{E})$$

whose left component $x^* : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ respects terminal objects and cartesian squares.

- (ii) A morphism of toposes $\mathcal{E}' \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{E}$

(or a mobile point of $\mathcal{E}$ parametrized by $\mathcal{E}'$)

is a pair of adjoint functors

$$(\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{f^*} \mathcal{E}', \mathcal{E}' \xrightarrow{f_*} \mathcal{E})$$

whose left component $f^* : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'$ respects terminal objects and cartesian squares.

Remark:

This definition is motivated by the fact that, for
a sober topological space X and a topological space X' ,
continuous maps

$$X' \longrightarrow X$$

correspond one-to-one to topos morphisms

$$\mathcal{E}_{X'} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_X.$$

Approximation of points

Theorem (Grothendieck, Diaconescu). –

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a topos sketched as

$$\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_J$$

for a small (cartesian) category $\mathcal{C}$
endowed with a topology J .

Then points of $\mathcal{E}$ [resp. topos morphisms $\mathcal{E}' \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$]
correspond one-to-one to functors

$$\rho : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set} \quad [\text{resp. } \rho : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}']$$

which verify the following conditions:

- (C) The functor ρ respects cartesian squares.
- (C₁) It transforms the terminal object 1 of $\mathcal{C}$
into the terminal object $\{\bullet\}$ of $\mathbf{Set}$ [resp. $1_{\mathcal{E}'}$ of $\mathcal{E}'$].
- (J) The functor ρ transforms J -coverings

$$(X_i \xrightarrow{x_i} X)_{i \in I}$$

into families of maps of $\mathbf{Set}$ [resp. of morphisms of $\mathcal{E}'$]
which are globally surjective [resp. globally epimorphic].